

International Conference "Safeguarding cultural heritage from natural and man made disasters"

Pécs, 12 September 2018

- Risk Assessment and Sustainable Protection on Cultural Heritage in changing environment. ProteCHt2save Project
- Alessandra Bonazza/Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (ISAC-CNR)

Risk Assesment and sustainable protection of Cultural Heritage in changing environment





The degree of equality in a society may also be treated as a value that belongs to a society as a whole, rather than to any of the individuals who make up the society. Various measures of this value are available, including the Gini coefficient and the Atkinson measure (Gini, 1912; Atkinson, 1970); for an assessment see (Sen, 1973). Section 3.5 explains that the value of equality can alternatively be treated as a feature of the aggregation of individual people's wellbeings, rather than as social value separate from wellbeing.

3.4.3 Wellbeing

Most policy concerned with climate change aims ultimately at making the world better for people to live in. That is to say, it aims to promote people's wellbeing. A person's wellbeing, as the term is used here, includes everything that is good or bad for the person—everything that contributes to making their life go well or badly. What things are those—what constitutes a person's wellbeing? This question has been the subject of an extensive literature since ancient times.⁸ One view is that a person's wellbeing is the satisfaction of their preferences. Another is that it consists in good feelings such as pleasure. A third is that wellbeing consists in possessing the ordinary good things of life, such as health, wealth, a long life, and participating well in a

too (Dervis and Klugman, 2011). In the context of climate change, many different metrics of value are intended to measure particular components of wellbeing; among them are the numbers of people at risk from hunger, infectious diseases, coastal flooding, or water scarcity. These metrics may be combined to create a more general measure. Schneider et al. (2000) advocates the use of a suite of five metrics: (1) monetary loss, (2) loss of life, (3) quality of life (taking account of forced migration, conflict over resources, cultural diversity, and loss of cultural heritage sites), (4) species or biodiversity loss, and (5) distribution and equity.

3.4.4 Aggregation of wellbeing

Whatever wellbeing consists of, policy-making must take into account the wellbeing of everyone in the society. So the wellbeings of different people have somehow to be aggregated together. How do they combine to make up an aggregate value of wellbeing for a society as a whole? Social choice theory takes up this problem (Arrow, 1963; Sen, 1970). Section 3.6 will explain that the aim of economic valuation is to measure aggregate wellbeing.

Assume that each person has a level of wellbeing at each time they are alive, and call this their 'temporal wellbeing' at that time. In a society, temporal wellbeing is distributed across times and across the people.



Contract EAC-2016-0248

IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment. Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Edenhofer, O., R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler, I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Stechow, T. Zwickel and J.C. Minx (eds.)].

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.



PROJECTS ON IMPACT OF NATURAL MAN-MADE DISASTERS ON CULTURAL HERITAGE




 Lack in observation data: monitoring is necessary for correlating damage with climate and its change

- Need of model downscaling in space and time
- Improvement of **damage functions** for producing future scenarios (quantitative evaluation, indicators etc.)

 Lack in scenarios for complex systems, i.e. urban centres, archaeological sites. Existing scenarios mostly refers to materials

- Lack of exhaustive multi-risk scenarios
- Need of long-term view measures and strategies
- Need of early warning system for disasters specifically addresses to CH safeguard (encouragement of citizens involvement)
- Need of focusing on preparedness, measures are mainly based on response to emergency situations





RESILIENCE STRENGTHENING AND RISK MANAGEMENT - INTERNATIONAL LEVEL



Hyogo Framework for Action 2005 – 2015

The disaster risks for the cultural heritage was mentioned for the first time, in section 3, "Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels", "Key activities".

Strategy for Risk Reduction at World Heritage Properties

Presented by UNESCO and approved by the World Heritage Committee in 2007. According to the five main objectives defined by the Hyogo Framework for Action, the priority measures of the Strategy have been structured.

Sendai Framework 2015 – 2030

The new international Disaster Risk Reduction policy includes important references for the protection of culture and heritage from disaster risks.

Cultural heritage as an incentive for enhancing the reduction of the impact of catastrophic events

Protection and
enhancement of natural
and cultural heritage in
support of socio-economic
development and
sustainable tourism

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD



RESILIENCE STRENGTHENING AND RISK MANAGEMENT -NATIONAL/LOCAL LEVEL



ITALY

In 2014 three technical-scientific documents were published supporting the "<u>Strategia Nazionale di Adattamento ai Cambiamenti Climatici (SNAC)</u>" adopted by the Ministry of Environment and including cultural heritage as one of the priority sectors.

FRANCE

National Climate Change Adaptation - Emerging Practices in Monitoring and Evaluation, the French National Adaptation Strategy, adopted in 2006, identifies four overarching goals to be considered in national planning processes. The 4th is to preserve French natural heritage. Plan national d'adaptation de la France aux effets du changement climatique 2011 – 2015.

Stones/Bricks/Mortars (Out)

- Surface Recession
- Blackening/ Soiling
- Thermal Stress
- Frost Weathering
- Salt Crystallization
- Biodegradation

Wood (In/Out)

- Mechanical Damage
- Fungal Growth

Metals (Out)

- Corrosion (T+SO₂, Steel/Bronze)
- Corrosion (T+Cl⁻, Zinc/Lead/Cupper)





GUARDING HERITAGE FROM NATURAL HAZARDS







Climate change and other natural hazards pose a risk for cultural heritage assets and the people around them. ProteCHt2save is a project that works to protect the heritage and nearby populations - especially against the risk of floods. ProteCHt2save produces tools to help local officials manage risks and develop action plans for emergencies.

www.interreg-central.eu/culture





AUSTRIA Niederösterreich
CROATIA Jadranska Hrvatska

CZECH REPUBLIC Praha

HUNGARY Dél-Dunántúl ITALY Emilia-Romagna

POLAND Śląskie SLOVENIA Vzhodna Slovenija 3

PROJECT BUDGET

2.15
MILLION €

ERDF FUNDING

1.79 MILLION €

PARTNERS



 Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate National Research Council of Italy (ISAC-CNR)


 Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics of the Czech Academy of Sciences (ITAM)

Danube University Krems (DUK)

Bielsko-Biala District (BBD)

 Regional Development Agency Bielsko-Biala (ARRSA)

- Municipality of Ferrara (MUF)
- Municipal District Praha-Troja (TROJA)
- Government of Baranya County (GBC)
- City of Kastela (COK)
- Municipality of Kocevje (MOK)





OBJECTIVES



Programme specific objective

3.2 - To improve capacities for the sustainable use of cultural heritage and resources


<u>ProteCht2save main objective</u>: Improved protection, management and sustainable use of CH, as well as its valorization in a changing environment by:

- Promoting the share of experience on critical elements in the resilience and risk management of CH
- Development of:
 - ICT solutions (inventory and maps) for risk management and protection of CH in Central Europe
 - tools (decision support tool, best practices manual, handbook on transnational rescue procedures) with practices/strategies on disaster resilience of CH
 - transnational, regional and local strategies to favour plans adoption
- Pilot testing and implementing by active involvement of Municipalities



PROJECT STRUCTURE







OBJECTIVES



ProteCHt2save specific objectives

- Defining risk areas for an improved protection and sustainable use of CH in Central Europe susceptible to disasters and climate change impacts.
- Determining critical elements for CH vulnerability in the resilience and risk management process.
- Setting up of transnational best practices and common strategies for sustainable use and protection of CH to be integrated in joint action plans in a changing environment.

Extreme Events

Flood

Heavy Rain

Drought periods (Fire)

Cultural Heritage
Categories
Monumental complexes with
related collections located in
urban areas



THEMATIC WORK PACKAGES T1-T4



ProteCHt2save

2017	2017	2018	2018	2018	2019	2019	2019	2020	2020
7 8	9 10 11 12	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12	1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12	1 2 3 4	5 6

WPT1

Identification of Risk Areas and Priorities 08, 2017 - 11, 2018

WPT2

Cultural Heritage Vulnerability in Emergency Situations 10. 2017 - 12. 2018

WPT3

Elaboration/Implementation of Plans for Cultural Heritage Protection in Emergency Situations 11, 2018 - 11, 2019

WPT4

Elaboration/Implementation of Plans for Cultural Heritage Protection and Safeguarding in Emergency 06. 2019 - 06. 2020




WP T1 IDENTIFICATION OF RISK AREAS AND PRIORITIES INTERFEC

Activity A.T1.1

D.T1.1.2 Report including and inventory of existing tools for risk evaluation




Main natural hazards involved: Flood and Heavy rain



Past disasters:

- documented disasters on pilot sites
- · Not always on built CH





WP T1 IDENTIFICATION OF RISK AREAS AND PRIORITIES INTERIFE


Activity A.T1.1

D.T1.1.2 Report including and inventory of existing tools for risk evaluation




Plan & strategies

The number of plans and strategies including ProteCHt2save pilot sites are highlighted as well as those taking into consideration built heritage (Krems, Bielsko-Biala, Ferrara, Kastela and Kocevje)



Protection and recovery of built CH almost not included





WP T1 IDENTIFICATION OF RISK AREAS AND PRIORITIES INTERIFES

Activity A.T1.1

D.T1.1.2 Report including and inventory of existing tools for risk evaluation



Plan & strategies

The number of plans and strategies including ProteCHt2save pilot sites are highlighted as well as those taking into consideration built heritage (Bielsko-Biala, Ferrara,

Protection and recovery of built CH almost not included

Protection and recovery of built CH almost not included






CLIMATE DATA, DOWNSCALING AND ANALYSIS TOOLS



Climate models and downscaling





Climate extremes and metrics



Data from models will be used for the production of:

- i) maps of changes of principal climate variables (temperature and precipitation)
- ii) maps related to climate extremes by using indexes selected among those defined by the CCI/WCRP/JCOMM Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) (http://www.climdex.org/indices.html)

SU, Number of summer days. Annual count of days when TX (daily maximum temperature) > 25°C.

Let TXij be daily maximum temperature on day i in year j. Count the number of days where:


TXij > 25° C.



D.T1.2.3

Elaboration of maps with hot spots of extreme potential impacts on CH





EOBS SU [1987–2016] minus [1951–1980]



PILOT SITES



7 pilot actions will be conducted linked to climate change and variability associated with hydrometeorological and climate extremes

Monumental Complexes/Museums

Preparedness strategies Evacuation in emergency



Flood events in large basin

Extreme events of heavy rain





